

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/082,259	02/26/2002	Takashi Sato	4034-8	4034-8 3640		
23117 7:	590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER			
	ANDERHYE, PC LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A				
ARLINGTON,	•	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2871	2871		
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/082,259	SATO ET AL.	
Ì	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871	

	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ul> <li>NOTICE OF APPEAL</li> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 December 2004</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS</li> </ul>	i)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of th
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	oTE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s    6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	I21. See attached Notice of Non-C ):		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		1	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(P10/58/08 or P10-1449) Paper	JPG n	
	SUPER	VISORY PATENT E	YAMINED

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner appreciates Applicant's remarks in Paper of November 22, 2004. Applicant argues that polarizers are typically reduced or eliminated in guest-host type LCDs (Remarks at page 7). However, it is respectfully pointed out that guest-host display devices are generally grouped into those employing polarizers and those not using polarizers (See United States Patent 4,579,425 (to Ishii et al.)(quoting from Column 1, Lines 12-20). Thus, it has been known at least since 1983 that guest-host devices do in fact include polarizers. Also, it is respectfully noted that Mukai was not relied upon to teach a front polarizer. The Examiner does, however, remain open-minded as to the presence of allowable subject matter as-yet-to-be-determined in the Specification.